Prospective observational cohort study of second-line chemotherapy administration after first-line 10-022 platinum-based chemotherapy for patients with advanced NSCLC in Japan (SAPPHIRE study)

First-line platinum CT

Accessible for response

Maintenance therap

Bevacizumab

Pemetrexed+Bevacizumab

TS-1+Bevacizumab

Pemetrexed+Gefitinik

Sex male/female

Histology Adenc

Other

Smoking

Squamous

PS 0/1/2/3-4

never/ever/unknowr EGER status

mutant/wild/unknown

NSCLC (NOS)

Age median (range)

70 or more

Pemetrexed

Docetaxe

Gemcitabin

Erlotinib

Other

TS-1

Cisplatin

CR

PR SD

PD

Carboplatin

Nedaplatin

Table 2. Summary of first-line CT

Table 3. Summary of Maintenance CT

Table 4. Characteristics of patients with or

without maintenance therapy

76 5/23 5

35.1

59.7

28.7

9.4

2.3

42.0/51.1/6.1/0.9

17.4/81.8/0.8

6.4/55.0/38.7

No maintenance therapy

No. of patie

277/85

67 (24-86)

216

104

34

152/185/22/3

63/296/3

23/140/199

No. of patients

865

331

501

33

797

272

296

162

63

144

lo. of patie

54

51

13

37

20

38.0

35.9

92

6.3

4.9

28

1.4

0.7

0.7

1.4

64 6/35 4

18.1

87.5

4.9

7.6

52.1/43.1/4.2/0.7

23.6/76.4/0

11.8/69.4/18.8

Maintenance therapy

No. of pati

93/51

63 (34-78)

26

126

11

75/62/6/1

34/110/0

17/100/25

Yasushi Goto¹, Kiyotaka Yoh², Kazuma Kishi³, Yasuo Ohashi¹, Hideo Kunitoh⁴ ¹The University of Tokyo, ²National Cancer Center Hospital East, ³Toranomon Hospital,⁴Mitsui Memorial Hospital



UMIN#000006393

Background

Standard care of advanced NSCLC

- First-line platinum-based chemotherapy (first-line CT) Second-line chemotherapy (second-line CT) after the
- progression of the first-line CT
- Maintenance therapy after the first-line CT · Recently, maintenance therapy has been reported to be
- beneficial · Its impact on overall survival appears to be marginal or
- negligible, when most patients could receive timely active second-line CT after progression.

Objective

- To investigate the proportion of patients who had progression during observation after CR/PR/SD of firstline CT actually received the second-line CT
- To elucidate factors associated with second-line CT administration in advanced NSCLC patients.

Methods

Study design

Cohort (prospective observational) study

- Primary endpoint
- Proportion of patients who received second-line CT after firstline CT

Patient inclusion

- · Patients with advanced NSCLC who received platinum-based first-line CT
- From April 2010 to September 2011, at 30 institutions in Japan Data collection
- · Baseline characteristics (age, gender, ECOG-PS, smoking status, comorbidities, body mass index, histological subtype, EGFR/ALK status, CBC/chemistry at registration)
- · Regimens and responses to the first/second/third-line CT (regimen, response)
- Administration of maintenance CT
- · Reason for not receiving second-line CT (if it was not administered)
- Survival
- Data cutoff
- · Interim report describing patients with at least 6 months of follow up at April 2012
- Support
- Supported by the Public Health Research Center Foundation CSPOR

R	es	ul	ts

- A total of 865 eligible patients with advanced NSCLC provided patient characteristics and details of the first-line CT.
- At the time of cut off, 797 patients were assessable for response of the first-CT. A total of 572 patients had CR/PR/SD.
- Administration of the second-CT was analyzed for 506 patients who had progression after first-line CT (primary study population)
- · Maintenance therapy was administered to 144 patients. Of those,
- 7 patients did not progress.
- of 137 patients progressed, 25 patients (17.2%) could not receive second-line CT.
- Observation with no maintenance therapy was done in 362 patients. Of those,
- 40 patients did not progress.
- of 322 patients progressed, 89 patients (27.6%) could not receive second-line CT.
- Decline of PS was the principal reason for not receiving second-line CT in both patients with and without maintenance CT.





Table 1. Patie	nt characterist	ics
Characteristics	No. of patients (n=865)	%
Sex male/female	628/237	73/24
Age median (range) 70 or more	65 (24-86) 250	29
Histology Adeno Squamous NSCLC (NOS) Others	602 174 73 16	70 20 8 2
PS 0/1/2/3-4/unknown	343/449/65/7/1	40/52/7/1/0
Smoking never/ever/unknown	173/687/5	20/79/1
Comorbidities none/any	654/211	76/24
EGFR status mutant/wild/unknown	87/515/263	10/60/30
ALK-EML translocation positive/negative/unknown	11/42/812	1.3/4.9/93.9

Acknowledgemer

This study was funded by Comprehensive Support Project for Oncology Research (CSPOR) of Public Health Research Foundation. We would like to thank patients, their families, and following institutions;

Hokkaido University Hospital, KKR Sapporo Medical Center, Iwate Prefectural Central Hospital, Tohoku University Hospital, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki Prefectural Central Hospital, Tochigi Cancer Center, Gunma Prefectural Cancer Center, Saitama Andeical University International Medical Center, Saitama Cancer Center, Tokyo Metropolitan Cancer and Infectious Diseases Center Komagome Hospital, The Cancer Institute Hospital Of JFCR, Japanese Red Cross Medical Center, Kitasato University Hospital, Kanagawa Cardiovascular and Respiratory Center, Fujisawa City Hospital, Shinshu University Hospital, Nagova University Graduate School Of Medicine, Okayama University Hospital, Hiroshima Prefectural Hospital, Kurume University School of Medicine, Nagasaki University Hospital, University Hospital, University of Tokyo Hospital, National Cancer Center Hospital East, Toranomon Hospital, Nishigunma National Hospital, NT Medical Center Tokyo, Teikyo University School of Medicine, National Center for Global Health and Medicine

Among the patients who did not received maintenance therapy, 27.6% missed an opportunity to receive appropriate second-line CT. • We could not find associated factors for not receiving second-line CT.

• Preliminary results of this large observational study in Japan suggested that

 As for the patients who received maintenance therapy. 17.2% did not receive second-line CT. Administration of maintenance therapy did not appear to compromise the chance to receive second-line CT

Conclusions

• Further investigation is needed to identify the patients who would be less likely to receive the second-CT after disease progression, and thus would be more likely to receive benefit from maintenance therapy strategy.

	Table 5. Summary of second-line CT patients with confirmed progression after CR/PR/SD in first-line CT					
%		No maintenance therapy Maintenance the				
3.3 7.9		No. of patients (n=322)	%	No. of patients (n=137)	%	
.8	None	89	27.6	25	18.2	
0.5 4.1 7.1 0.3 7.9 8.1	2nd-line CT	233	72.4	112	81.8	
	Docetaxel	101	31.3	42	30.7	
	Pemetrexed	39	12.1	23	16.8	
	Erlotinib	15	4.7	12	8.8	
	TS-1	12	3.7	3	2.2	
	Gemcitabine	6	1.9	8	5.8	
	Other	60	18.6	24	17.5	

Table 6. Reasons for not receiving second-line CT after progression

	No maintenar	ice therapy	Maintenance therapy		
Reasons	No. of patients (n=89)	%	No. of patients (n=25)	%	
Declined PS	49	55.1	15	60.0	
Patient refusal	14	15.7	9	36.0	
Death of any cause	6	6.7	1	4.0	
Loss of follow-up and others	20	2.2	0	0	

Table 7. Association between baseline characteristics and administration of second-line CT yes/no (univariate analysis)

		No maintenance therapy			Maintenance therapy		
Characteri	stics	No. of patients (n=233/129*)	%	P OR (95% CI)	No. of patients (n=112/32*)	*	P OR(95% CI)
Sex	male	180/97	65.0/35.0	0.213	70/23	75.7/24.4	0.404
	female	53/32	62.4/37.6	1.12(0.679-1.849)	42/9	82.4/17.6	0.652(0.281-1,521)
Age	≥70	78/49	61.4/38.6	0.422	17/9	61.4/38.6	0.118
	<70	155/80	66.0/34.0	0.822(0.526-1.284)	95/23	66.0/34.0	0.457(0.184-1.134)
PS	0	102/50	67.1/32.9	0.375	64/11	85.3/14.7	0.028
	1-4	131/79	62.4/37.6	1.230(0.794-1.905)	48/21	69.6/30.4	2.545(1.134-5.704)
Smoking	never	40/23	63.4/36.6	0.886	32/2	94.1/5.9	0.009
	ever	193/106	64.5/35.5	0.955(0.545-1.673)	80/30	72.7/27.3	6.000(1.492-23.84)
Comorbidities	none	173/93	65.0/35.0	0.710	90/24	72.6/28.4	0.622
	yes	60/36	62.5/37.5	1.116(0.689-1.807)	22/8	73.3/26.7	1.364(0.552-3.388)
Platinum	CDDP	92/41	69.1/30.9	0.172	42/8	84.0/16.0	1.800
regimen	other	141/88	61.6/38.4	1.400(0.890-2.202)	70/24	74.5/25.5	(0.753-4.283)

*Patients without progression of first-line CT are included

Presented at the IASLC15th World Conference on Lung Cancer, Sidney, Australia, Oct 27-Oct 30, 2013